| 1 | CUED | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 3 | JIN - 7 2011 | | |
| 4 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY | | |
| 5 | ВУ | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 11 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | MJ11-1286 | | |
| 15 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) | | |
| 16 | Plaintiff, ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (Fed R Crim P. 32.1(a)(6) | | |
| 17 | HEARING (Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6) V. Allegations of Violations of Probation Supervised Release) Conditions of Release) | | |
| 18 | Jose Para - Mullian (Conditions of Release) | | |
| 19 | Defendant. | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | In this case involving alleged violations of conditions of probation or | | |
| 22 | supervised release, the Court finds no condition or combination of conditions | | |
| 23 | that will reasonably assure: | | |
| 24 | (A) the appearance of defendant as required; and/or | | |
| 25 | (B) (the safety of any person or the community. | | |
| 26 | | | |

| 1 | 1116 | Court concludes that. |
|----|--------|---|
| 2 | A. () | Defendant poses a risk to the safety of other persons or the |
| 3 | | community because defendant has not demonstrated by clear and |
| 4 | | convincing evidence that: |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | CILIM Altonia |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | (B) () | Defendant is a flight risk because defendant has not shown by clear |
| 11 | | and convincing evidence that: |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | Mon DEMMS |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | ······ |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | IT IS | ORDERED that defendant be detained. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | DATE: | , 2011 |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | MICHAEL R. WILNER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 22 | | UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |